splication No. 10/633,937

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

patent application of JOHNSON, Jack J. et al.

Serial No. 10/633,937

Confirmation No.: 5798

Filed: August 4, 2003

For:

BIDDING FOR

ENERGY SUPPLY TO RESELLERS AND THEIR

CUSTOMERS

Group Art Unit: 3639

Examiner: BORISSOV, Igor N.

I hereby certify that this correspondence and/or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope with sufficient postage addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on August 13,

(Date of Deposit)

August 13, 2007

(Date)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANTS' INTERVIEW SUMMARY FOR INTERVIEW OF JUNE 22, 2007

X

Dear Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary mailed by the Examiner on July 16, 2007 (the "Examiner's Summary"), the time period for responding to which extends to and including August 16, 2007, Applicants respectfully submit the comments presented below. As a preliminary matter, however, Applicants first wish to thank Examiner Borissov for his time and attention in the examination of the present application.

Regarding the Interview, Applicants believe that the Examiner's Summary presents a generally complete and accurate characterization of the Interview of June 22, 2007, the contents of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A. Applicants add only the following three points:

First, it is noted that counsel for Applicants and the Examiner engaged in e-mail. communications in connection with the Interview. Attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit B are copies of such e-mail communications in compliance with M.P.E.P. § 713.04.

Second, as discussed during the Interview and in Exhibit B, counsel for Applicants informed Examiner Borissov that Applicants intended to file an Information

Attorney Docket No. 33472/1

Application No. 10/633,937

Disclosure Statement (IDS) for the Examiner's consideration. During the Interview, Applicants

advised the Examiner that, should the Examiner choose to allow any claims before the IDS was

filed. Applicants would not be paying the issue fee at this time in direct response to any such

allowance, but would instead submit the IDS by way of a Request for Continuing Examination

(RCE) in order that the claims could be further examined in view of the IDS before any issue fee

is paid or any patent granted.

Third, the Examiner's Summary states that "the claims over the prior art of record

was discussed," etc. To clarify, it is noted that the Examiner did not discuss any specific prior

art reference during the Interview. Rather, the Examiner identified the claim feature he believed

overcomes the collective prior art of record. Applicants then endeavored to incorporate such a

feature in the two proposed drafts included in the e-mails of Exhibit B. Applicants consented to

make such proposed revisions to the claims subject to the Examiner's subsequent consideration

of the IDS to be filed by way of Applicants' filing of the RCE referred to above.

If the Examiner has any questions or other matters whose resolution could be

By:

advanced by a telephone call, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned. Also, the

Examiner is authorized to charge any deficiency and/or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account 503571.

Respectfully submitted,

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Dated: August 13, 2007

McCarter & English, LLP

Steven E. Halpern Reg. No. 51,769

Gateway Center Four 100 Mulberry Street

Newark, NJ 07102 Telephone: (973) 622-4444

Facsimile: (973) 624-7070